

Review

Open Access

Cannabis and tobacco smoke are not equally carcinogenic

Robert Melamede*^{1,2}

Address: ¹Biology Department, 1420 Austin Bluffs Parkway, University of Colorado, Colorado Springs, 80918, USA and ²Bioenergetics Institute, 1420 Austin Bluffs Parkway, University of Colorado, Colorado Springs, 80918, USA

Email: Robert Melamede* - rmelamed@uccs.edu

* Corresponding author

Published: 18 October 2005

Received: 30 November 2004

Harm Reduction Journal 2005, **2**:21 doi:10.1186/1477-7517-2-21

Accepted: 18 October 2005

This article is available from: <http://www.harmreductionjournal.com/content/2/1/21>

© 2005 Melamede; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

More people are using the cannabis plant as modern basic and clinical science reaffirms and extends its medicinal uses. Concomitantly, concern and opposition to smoked medicine has occurred, in part due to the known carcinogenic consequences of smoking tobacco. Are these reactions justified? While chemically very similar, there are fundamental differences in the pharmacological properties between cannabis and tobacco smoke. Cannabis smoke contains cannabinoids whereas tobacco smoke contains nicotine. Available scientific data, that examines the carcinogenic properties of inhaling smoke and its biological consequences, suggests reasons why tobacco smoke, but not cannabis smoke, may result in lung cancer.

Tobacco has dramatic negative consequences for those who smoke it. In addition to its high addiction potential [1], tobacco is causally associated with over 400,000 deaths yearly in the United States, and has a significant negative effect on health in general [2]. More specifically, over 140,000 lung-related deaths in 2001 were attributed to tobacco smoke [3]. Comparable consequences would naturally be expected from cannabis smoking since the burning of plant material in the form of cigarettes generates a large variety of compounds that possess numerous biological activities [4].

While cannabis smoke has been implicated in respiratory dysfunction, including the conversion of respiratory cells to what appears to be a pre-cancerous state [5], it has not been causally linked with tobacco related cancers [6] such as lung, colon or rectal cancers. Recently, Hashibe et al [7] carried out an epidemiological analysis of marijuana smoking and cancer. A connection between marijuana

smoking and lung or colorectal cancer was not observed. These conclusions are reinforced by the recent work of Tashkin and coworkers [8] who were unable to demonstrate a cannabis smoke and lung cancer link, despite clearly demonstrating cannabis smoke-induced cellular damage.

Furthermore, compounds found in cannabis have been shown to kill numerous cancer types including: lung cancer [9], breast and prostate [10], leukemia and lymphoma [11], glioma [12], skin cancer [13], and pheochromocytoma [14]. The effects of cannabinoids are complex and sometimes contradicting, often exhibiting biphasic responses. For example, in contrast to the tumor killing properties mentioned above, low doses of THC may stimulate the growth of lung cancer cells in vitro [15].

The genotoxic effects of partially oxidized hydrocarbons created by burning either cannabis or tobacco have been

widely examined as the likely source of genetic changes that lead to the carcinogenic state [16]. As a result, the medical potential of cannabis has been obscured by the potential negative impact of using a smoked medicine [17]. Those who deny the validity of "medical marijuana," cite that marijuana smoke contains four fold more tars than does tobacco smoke [18]. Nevertheless, smoking is often the preferred route of intake by medical cannabis users because rapid action allows self-titration [19]. Are the biological consequences of smoking cannabis and tobacco similar?

Smoke from tobacco and cannabis contains many of the same carcinogens and tumor promoters [20,21]. However, cannabis and tobacco have additional pharmacological activities, both receptor-dependent and independent, that result in different biological endpoints. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons found in smoke are pro-carcinogens that are converted to carcinogens by the enzymatic activity of the cytochrome P4501A1 oxidase protein (CYP1A1 gene product). Benzo [a] pyrene is converted to its carcinogenic metabolite diol epoxide, which binds to specific hyper-mutable nucleotide sequences in the K-ras oncogene and p53 tumor suppressor [22]. Recent work by Roth *et al.* demonstrates that THC treatment of murine hepatoma cells caused a dose dependent increase in CYP1A1 gene transcription, while at the same time directly inhibiting the enzymatic activity of the gene product [23]. Thus, despite potentially higher levels of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons found in cannabis smoke compared to tobacco smoke (dependent on what part of the plant is smoked), the THC present in cannabis smoke should exert a protective effect against pro-carcinogens that require activation. In contrast, nicotine activates some CYP1A1 activities, thus potentially increasing the carcinogenic effects of tobacco smoke [24].

It is worth noting that cytochrome P4501A1 oxidase has numerous substrates including biologically active lipid metabolites such as arachidonic acid, and eicosinoids [25]. These molecules are components of metabolic pathways that are interwoven with the synthesis and degradation of endocannabinoids such as arachidonylethanolamine (anandamide) [26]. Hence, the inhibition of cytochrome P4501A1 oxidase by THC is likely to have multiple biological effects such as possibly enhancing cannabinoid activities by decreasing their catabolism.

The need to better understand the biological consequences of tobacco compared to cannabis smoke has been underscored by recent studies that demonstrate a unique role for nicotine in the pathogenesis of lung cancer [27]. In order to appreciate potential biological differences between tobacco and cannabis smoke, the molecular

basis of signal transduction must be considered with respect to the life and death of cells. Evolution has provided cells with biochemical feedback loops, checkpoints that monitor genetic integrity and the overall state of the cell. Under conditions of sufficient cellular damage, apoptotic cell death is induced [28]. While a variety of different biochemical states are consistent with a cell either living or dying, constant communication between a cell and its environment is critical for survival of the cell and ultimately the organism.

Cells communicate with each other via specific cell surface receptors. When bound with their appropriate ligand, the receptors initiate signaling cascades that alter cellular biochemistry [29]. THC found in cannabis [30] and nicotine found in tobacco [31] both have specific receptors by which their corresponding ligands modulate cellular functions. Interestingly, both cannabinoid [32] and nicotine receptors [27] are coupled to the AKT (PKB) signaling pathway. Activation of either receptor type can induce an anti-apoptotic state that prevents cell death. However, it is the context in which the AKT pathway is activated that determines whether an organism benefits or is harmed by this anti-apoptotic activity.

Nicotine receptors are widely distributed and are found in the epithelial cells lining respiratory passages. Cannabinoid receptors are also widely distributed, but have not been reported in respiratory epithelial cells. The differential expression of receptors may account for the apparent difference in carcinogenic activity that results from smoking tobacco compared to cannabis. Both types of smoke contain a complex mixture of compounds, some of which are carcinogenic. They both contain hot gasses and irritating particulate matter (tars). However, the anti-apoptotic response that results from the stimulation of the nicotine receptors, under mutagenic conditions, creates a worst-case scenario. The very cells that have accumulated sufficient genetic damage to normally initiate the apoptotic cascade are prevented from going down this suicidal path [33] even though it would be best for the organism as a whole. In contrast, when the AKT pathway is activated in the brain after head injury [34] or stroke, [35] cannabinoids protect against cell death to the organism's benefit. Likewise, nicotine can also activate the AKT pathway in the brain in a beneficial manner. For example, activation of the nicotine receptors, as is also true of cannabinoid receptors [36], can prevent the brain cell death that results from exposure to beta amyloid protein [37] as occurs in Alzheimer's disease.

The impact of receptor and downstream activation is complicated. Both nicotine and cannabinoids have been shown to effect angiogenesis in a receptor-mediated manner [13]. However, nicotine and tobacco have opposite

effects on angiogenesis. Nicotine promotes neo-vascularization along with associated tumor growth, atheroma, up-regulation of VEGF, and cell migration [38]. In contrast, cannabinoids promote tumor regression in rodents and inhibit pro-angiogenic factors [39]. In fact, clinical trials to treat human glioma with THC have resulted in decreased levels of VEGF [40].

The signal transduction pathway described above represents one means by which the carcinogenic effects of tobacco are amplified in a contrasting manner to what occurs with cannabis. The immunological effects resulting from smoking tobacco or cannabis are also distinctive and result in opposite end-points. Again, the carcinogenic potential of smoke is increased by tobacco, whereas it is uniquely reduced by the specific immune regulatory activity of cannabinoids in cannabis smoke. The introduction of hot gaseous material containing both carcinogens and particulate material into the respiratory passages produces pro-inflammatory immune responses [41]. The inflammatory state is a double-edged sword that can serve to protect or kill an organism. A functional characteristic of the pro-inflammatory state is the production of free radicals [42]. These reactive chemical species are essential armaments in the body's defense against various pathogens, in particular against intracellular parasites and bacteria. Free radicals are thought to be contributing etiological agents behind a number of pathological states [43] including cardiovascular and neuro-degenerative diseases [44], cancers, and aging in general [45]. Endocannabinoids are specific immunological homeostatic modulators when acting on "peripheral" CB2 receptors [30]. Both endo- and exo-cannabinoids push the immune system towards the relatively anti-inflammatory Th2 cytokine profile [46]. Thus, cannabinoids inhaled in cannabis smoke physiologically reduce the potential amplification of carcinogens in smoke that results from biologically produced free radicals. This response is not induced by tobacco smoke.

In conclusion, while both tobacco and cannabis smoke have similar properties chemically, their pharmacological activities differ greatly. Components of cannabis smoke minimize some carcinogenic pathways whereas tobacco smoke enhances some. Both types of smoke contain carcinogens and particulate matter that promotes inflammatory immune responses that may enhance the carcinogenic effects of the smoke. However, cannabis typically down-regulates immunologically-generated free radical production by promoting a Th2 immune cytokine profile. Furthermore, THC inhibits the enzyme necessary to activate some of the carcinogens found in smoke. In contrast, tobacco smoke increases the likelihood of carcinogenesis by overcoming normal cellular checkpoint protective mechanisms through the activity of respiratory

epithelial cell nicotine receptors. Cannabinoids receptors have not been reported in respiratory epithelial cells (in skin they prevent cancer), and hence the DNA damage checkpoint mechanism should remain intact after prolonged cannabis exposure. Furthermore, nicotine promotes tumor angiogenesis whereas cannabis inhibits it. It is possible that as the cannabis-consuming population ages, the long-term consequences of smoking cannabis may become more similar to what is observed with tobacco. However, current knowledge does not suggest that cannabis smoke will have a carcinogenic potential comparable to that resulting from exposure to tobacco smoke.

It should be noted that with the development of vaporizers, that use the respiratory route for the delivery of carcinogen-free cannabis vapors, the carcinogenic potential of smoked cannabis has been largely eliminated [47,48].

Competing interests

The author(s) declare that they have no competing interests.

References

1. Khurana S, Batra V, Patkar AA, Leone FT: **Twenty-first century tobacco use: it is not just a risk factor anymore.** *Respir Med* 2003, **97(4)**:295-301.
2. Thun MJ, Henley SJ, Calle EE: **Tobacco use and cancer: an epidemiologic perspective for geneticists.** *Oncogene* 2002, **21(48)**:7307-7325.
3. Alavanja MC: **Biologic damage resulting from exposure to tobacco smoke and from radon: implication for preventive interventions.** *Oncogene* 2002, **21(48)**:7365-7375.
4. Novotny M, Merli F, Weisler D, Fencl M, Saeed T: **Fractionation and capillary gas chromatographic-mass spectrometric characterization of the neutral components in marijuana and tobacco smoke condensates.** *J Chromatogr* 1982, **238**:141-150.
5. Tashkin DR, Baldwin GC, Sarafian T, Dubinett S, Roth MD: **Respiratory and immunologic consequences of marijuana smoking.** *J Clin Pharmacol* 2002, **42(11 Suppl)**:71S-81S.
6. Sidney S, Beck JE, Tekawa IS, Quesenberry CP, Friedman GD: **Marijuana use and mortality.** *Am J Public Health* 1997, **87**:585-590.
7. Hashibe M, Straif K, Tashkin DP, Morgenstern H, Greenland S, Zhang ZF: **Epidemiologic review of marijuana use and cancer risk.** *Alcohol* 2005, **35**:265-275.
8. Tashkin DP: **Smoked marijuana as a cause of lung injury.** *Monaldi Arch Chest Dis* 2005, **63**:93-100.
9. Munson AE, Harris LS, Friedman MA, Dewey WL, Carchman RA: **Antineoplastic activity of cannabinoids.** *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1975, **55**:597-602.
10. Sanchez C, de Ceballos ML, del Pulgar TG, Rueda D, Corbacho C, Velasco G, Galve-Roperh I, Huffman JW, Ramon y, Cajal S, Guzman M: **Inhibition of glioma growth in vivo by selective activation of the CB(2) cannabinoid receptor.** *Cancer Res* 2001, **61**:5784-5789.
11. McKallip RJ, Lombard C, Fisher M, Martin BR, Ryu S, Grant S, Nagarkatti PS, Nagarkatti M: **Targeting CB2 cannabinoid receptors as a novel therapy to treat malignant lymphoblastic disease.** *Blood* 2002, **100**:627-634.
12. Sanchez C, Galve-Roperh I, Canova C, Brachet P, Guzman M: **Delta9-tetrahydrocannabinol induces apoptosis in C6 glioma cells.** *FEBS Lett* 1998, **436**:6-10.
13. Casanova ML, Blazquez C, Martinez-Palacio J, Villanueva C, Fernandez-Acenero MJ, Huffman JW, Jorcano JL, Guzman M: **Inhibition of skin tumor growth and angiogenesis in vivo by activation of cannabinoid receptors.** *J Clin Invest* 2003, **111**:43-50.

14. Sarker KP, Obara S, Nakata M, Kitajima I, Maruyama I: **Anandamide induces apoptosis of PC-12 cells: involvement of superoxide and caspase-3.** *FEBS Lett* 2000, **472**:39-44.
15. Hart S, Fischer OM, Ullrich A: **Cannabinoids induce cancer cell proliferation via tumor necrosis factor alpha-converting enzyme (TACE/ADAM17)-mediated transactivation of the epidermal growth factor receptor.** *Cancer Res* 2004, **64**:1943-1950.
16. Godschalk R, Nair J, van Schooten FJ, Risch A, Drings P, Kayser K, Dienemann H, Bartsch H: **Comparison of multiple DNA adduct types in tumor adjacent human lung tissue: effect of cigarette smoking.** *Carcinogenesis* 2002, **23**:2081-2086.
17. Watson SJ, Benson JAJ, Joy JE: **Marijuana and medicine: assessing the science base: a summary of the 1999 Institute of Medicine report.** *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2000, **57**(6):547-552.
18. Wu TC, Tashkin DP, Djahed B, Rose JE: **Pulmonary hazards of smoking marijuana as compared with tobacco.** *N Engl J Med* 1988, **318**:347-351.
19. Grotenhermen F: **Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of cannabinoids.** *Clin Pharmacokinet* 2003, **42**(4):327-360.
20. Nebert DW, Gonzalez FJ: **P450 genes: structure, evolution, and regulation.** *Annu Rev Biochem* 1987, **56**:945-993.
21. Hecht SS, Carmella SG, Murphy SE, Foiles PG, Chung FL: **Carcinogen biomarkers related to smoking and upper aerodigestive tract cancer.** *J Cell Biochem Suppl* 1993, **17F**:27-35.
22. Tretyakova N, Matter B, Jones R, Shallop A: **Formation of benzo[a]pyrene diol epoxide-DNA adducts at specific guanines within K-ras and p53 gene sequences: stable isotope-labeling mass spectrometry approach.** *Biochemistry* 2002, **41**:9535-9544.
23. Roth MD, Marques-Magallanes JA, Yuan M, Sun W, Tashkin DP, Hankinson O: **Induction and regulation of the carcinogen-metabolizing enzyme CYP1A1 by marijuana smoke and delta (9)-tetrahydrocannabinol.** *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* 2001, **24**:339-344.
24. Price RJ, Renwick AB, Walters DG, Young PJ, Lake BG: **Metabolism of nicotine and induction of CYP1A forms in precision-cut rat liver and lung slices.** *Toxicol In Vitro* 2004, **18**:179-185.
25. Nebert DW, Russell DW: **Clinical importance of the cytochromes P450.** *Lancet* 2002, **360**(9340):1155-1162.
26. Devane WA, Hanus L, Breuer A, Pertwee RG, Stevenson LA, Griffin G, Gibson D, Mandelbaum A, Etinger A, Mechoulam R: **Isolation and structure of a brain constituent that binds to the cannabinoid receptor.** *Science* 1992, **258**:1946-1949.
27. West KA, Brognard J, Clark AS, Linnoila IR, Yang X, Swain SM, Harris C, Belinsky S, Dennis PA: **Rapid Akt activation by nicotine and a tobacco carcinogen modulates the phenotype of normal human airway epithelial cells.** *J Clin Invest* 2003, **111**:81-90.
28. Woo RA, Poon RY: **Cyclin-Dependent Kinases and S Phase Control in Mammalian Cells.** *Cell Cycle* 2003, **2**:316-324.
29. Bockaert J, Pin JP: **Molecular tinkering of G protein-coupled receptors: an evolutionary success.** *EMBO J* 1999, **18**(7):1723-1729.
30. Howlett AC, Barth F, Bonner TI, Cabral G, Casellas P, Devane WA, Felder CC, Herkenham M, Mackie K, Martin BR, Mechoulam R, Pertwee RG: **International Union of Pharmacology. XXVII. Classification of cannabinoid receptors.** *Pharmacol Rev* 2002, **54**(2):161-202.
31. Itier V, Bertrand D: **Neuronal nicotinic receptors: from protein structure to function.** *FEBS Lett* 2001, **504**(3):118-125.
32. Gomez del Pulgar T, Velasco G, Guzman M: **The CBI cannabinoid receptor is coupled to the activation of protein kinase B/Akt.** *Biochem J* 2000, **347**:369-373.
33. Minna JD: **Nicotine exposure and bronchial epithelial cell nicotinic acetylcholine receptor expression in the pathogenesis of lung cancer.** *J Clin Invest* 2003, **111**(1):31-33.
34. Panikashvili D, Simeonidou C, Ben-Shabat S, Hanus L, Breuer A, Mechoulam R, Shohami E: **An endogenous cannabinoid (2-AG) is neuroprotective after brain injury.** *Nature* 2001, **413**:527-531.
35. Leker RR, Shohami E, Abramsky O, Ovadia H: **Dexanabinol; a novel neuroprotective drug in experimental focal cerebral ischemia.** *J Neurol Sci* 1999, **162**:114-119.
36. Iuvone T, Esposito G, Esposito R, Santamaria R, Di Rosa M, Izzo AA: **Neuroprotective effect of cannabidiol, a non-psychoactive component from Cannabis sativa, on beta-amyloid-induced toxicity in PC12 cells.** *J Neurochem* 2004, **89**:134-141.
37. Kihara T, Shimohama S, Sawada H, Honda K, Nakamizo T, Shibasaki H, Kume T, Akaike A: **alpha 7 nicotinic receptor transduces signals to phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase to block A beta-amyloid-induced neurotoxicity.** *J Biol Chem* 2001, **276**:13541-13546.
38. Heeschen C, Jang JJ, Weis M, Pathak A, Kaji S, Hu RS, Tsao PS, Johnson FL, Cooke JP: **Nicotine stimulates angiogenesis and promotes tumor growth and atherosclerosis.** *Nat Med* 2001, **7**:833-839.
39. Galve-Roperh I, Sanchez C, Cortes ML, del Pulgar TG, Izquierdo M, Guzman M: **Anti-tumoral action of cannabinoids: involvement of sustained ceramide accumulation and extracellular signal-regulated kinase activation.** *Nat Med* 2000, **6**:313-319.
40. Blazquez C, Gonzalez-Feria L, Alvarez L, Haro A, Casanova ML, Guzman M: **Cannabinoids inhibit the vascular endothelial growth factor pathway in gliomas.** *Cancer Res* 2004, **64**:5617-5623.
41. Sarafian TA, Magallanes JA, Shau H, Tashkin D, Roth MD: **Oxidative stress produced by marijuana smoke. An adverse effect enhanced by cannabinoids.** *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* 1999, **20**:1286-1293.
42. Chung HY, Kim HJ, Kim JW, Yu BP: **The inflammation hypothesis of aging: molecular modulation by calorie restriction.** *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2001, **928**:327-335.
43. Raha S, Robinson BH: **Mitochondria, oxygen free radicals, and apoptosis.** *Am J Med Genet* 2001, **106**:62-70.
44. Halliwell B: **Role of free radicals in the neurodegenerative diseases: therapeutic implications for antioxidant treatment.** *Drugs Aging* 2001, **18**:685-716.
45. Drew B, Leeuwenburgh C: **Aging and the role of reactive nitrogen species.** *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2002, **959**:66-81.
46. Yuan M, Kiertscher SM, Cheng Q, Zoumalan R, Tashkin DP, Roth MD: **Delta 9-Tetrahydrocannabinol regulates Th1/Th2 cytokine balance in activated human T cells.** *J Neuroimmunol* 2002, **133**:124-131.
47. Mirken B: **Vaporizers for medical marijuana.** *Aids Treat News* No 327 1999; Sect. 1, 5. .
48. Gieringer D, St Laquent J, Goodrich S: **Cannabis Vaporizer Combines Efficient Delivery of THC with Effective Suppression of Pyrolytic Compounds.** In *Journal of Cannabis Therapeutics* 4th edition. Edited by: Dr. Ethan Russo. Binghamton, New York: Haworth Press; 2004:7-27.

Publish with **BioMed Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- yours — you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here:
http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp

